

Experimental Determination of Chiral Symmetry Breaking Parameters

M. Knecht

*Division de Physique Théorique *, Institut de Physique Nucléaire
F-91406 Orsay Cedex, France*

Abstract

In the low energy domain, Chiral Perturbation Theory parametrizes the small chiral symmetry breaking effects, produced by the quark masses m_u , m_d and m_s , in terms of order parameters of massless QCD. The latter can then, in principle, be measured in high precision, low energy experiments. We discuss several relevant processes and possible improvements at future high luminosity tau/charm or K factories.

Talk given at the XXVIIIth Rencontres de Moriond on QCD and High Energy Hadronic Interactions, Les Arcs (France), March 20-27 1993.

*Unité de Recherche des Universités Paris XI et Paris VI associée au CNRS.

The $SU(3)_L \otimes SU(3)_R$ chiral symmetry of QCD with three massless flavours is spontaneously broken, producing an octet of massless pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons. The observed masses M_P of the light pseudoscalars then result from the explicit breaking of chiral symmetry through the quark masses m_u, m_d, m_s . The latter have values that are sufficiently small as compared to the scale $\Lambda_H \sim 1$ GeV, where typical hadronic bound states appear, so that their effects can be treated as perturbations around the massless limit $m_u = m_d = m_s = 0$.

The masses of the pseudoscalars, for instance, have an expansion

$$M_P^2 = B_0(m_i + m_j) + A_0(m_i + m_j)^2 + \dots, \quad (1)$$

where the dots stand for higher order terms and non-analytic terms. The constants B_0 and A_0 are not constrained by chiral symmetry, but their actual values convey informations about the ground state of QCD in the chiral limit. As is well known, B_0 is related to the bilinear quark condensate,

$$B_0 = -\frac{1}{F_0^2} \langle 0 | \bar{u}u | 0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{F_0^2} \langle 0 | \bar{d}d | 0 \rangle = -\frac{1}{F_0^2} \langle 0 | \bar{s}s | 0 \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where F_0 and $|0\rangle$ denote the pion decay constant and the vacuum state, respectively, in the chiral limit. The constant A_0 also corresponds to an order parameter of chiral symmetry, namely to a two point function of scalar and pseudo-scalar quark densities at vanishing momentum transfer, with the infrared singularities arising from one and two Goldstone boson intermediate states subtracted,

$$\delta^{ab} A_0 = \frac{2i}{F_0^2} \int dx \langle 0 | T \{ \bar{q}_L \frac{\lambda^a}{2} q_R(x) \bar{q}_L \frac{\lambda^b}{2} q_R(0) + \bar{q}_R \frac{\lambda^a}{2} q_L(x) \bar{q}_R \frac{\lambda^b}{2} q_L(0) \} | 0 \rangle^{(sub.)} . \quad (3)$$

This two point function satisfies a superconvergent dispersion relation, whose saturation with non-Goldstone hadronic states leads to an estimate $A_0 \sim 1 - 5$. Since colour degrees of freedom are confined, an estimation of the value of B_0 along the same lines is not possible, while a direct computation of B_0 from QCD has not been achieved so far. B_0 could be of the same order as Λ_H [1], or as small as $F_0 \sim 90$ MeV [2]. Both scales appear as natural, and our present theoretical understanding of QCD is consistent with both possibilities. However, the two alternatives can, in principle, be distinguished experimentally, as we shall discuss later.

For $B_0 \sim \Lambda_H$, the first contribution dominates in Eq.(1), i.e. the ratio

$$\eta \equiv \frac{(m_i + m_j) B_0}{M_P^2} \quad (4)$$

is of the order of unity, and the subsequent terms in Eq.(1) only give small corrections to this value [3]. For the same reason, the quark mass ratio $r = 2m_s/(m_u + m_d)$ is close to its leading order value,

$$r \sim 2 \frac{M_K^2}{M_\pi^2} - 1 \sim 25 . \quad (5)$$

In the second alternative where $B_0 \sim F_0$, the two terms in Eq. (1) are comparable, unless the quark masses would be much smaller than usually believed [4]: η may differ appreciably from unity for m_u, m_d in the range 10 – 50 MeV, while the quark mass ratio r is no longer fixed by the lowest order mass formula, Eq.(1), and can be considerably smaller than 25. An analysis [5] of the Dashen-Weinstein sum rule for the deviations from the Goldberger-Treiman relation shows that, unless the pion-nucleon coupling constant were to differ by several standard deviations [6] from the Koch-Pietarinen value [7] $g_{\pi NN} = 13.40 \pm 0.08$, the data indeed seem to favour a value of r in the range 10 – 15. If confirmed, such a small value of r would mean that the standard Chiral Perturbation theory converges very slowly.

In order to dispense with any a-priori idea concerning the value of B_0 , a mathematically consistent rearrangement of the Chiral Perturbation Theory effective Lagrangian has been proposed [2], [8], in which r (or η) appears as a free parameter. Roughly speaking, it amounts to count a quark mass insertion as one power of external pseudoscalar momenta, whereas in the standard case [3], the quark masses are counted like two powers of momenta. For r very different from 25, one would then obtain a better convergence rate, while for $r \sim 25$, this improved Chiral Perturbation Theory reduces to the standard one. It becomes then possible to show that the low-energy pseudoscalar meson scattering amplitude is rather sensitive to the value of r . For instance, the leading order $\pi - \pi$ scattering amplitude reads [2]

$$A(s|t, u) = \frac{M_\pi^2}{3F_\pi^2} \alpha_{\pi\pi} + \frac{s - \frac{4}{3}M_\pi^2}{F_\pi^2} \beta_{\pi\pi} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\beta_{\pi\pi} = 1 , \quad \alpha_{\pi\pi} = 1 + 6 \frac{r_2 - r}{r^2 - 1} , \quad (7)$$

and r_2 denotes the quantity appearing in Eq.(5). In the standard case $B_0 \sim \Lambda_H$, $r = r_2$ at leading order and one recovers Weinberg's theorem [9]. The extreme case $B_0 = 0$ gives $\alpha_{\pi\pi} = 4$, while for $r \sim 10$, one obtains $\alpha_{\pi\pi} \sim 2$. Hence, the value of r can, in principle, be obtained from $\pi - \pi$ scattering data. The authors of Ref. [8] have considered the possibility to extract the value of $\alpha_{\pi\pi}/\beta_{\pi\pi}$ from existing data. The lack

of *sufficiently precise* experimental information concerning, for instance, the $I=0$ s-wave scattering length, $a_0^0 = 0.26 \pm 0.05$ (see e.g. Ref. [10]), allows the ratio $\alpha_{\pi\pi}/\beta_{\pi\pi}$ to vary within a rather large range $\sim 1.5 - 4$, which could accomodate any value of B_0 in the range between F_0 and 1 GeV. To settle the fundamental question of the value of B_0 , more precise data on the $\pi - \pi$ phase shifts are needed. At the same time, one should explore other experimental situations where informations on r could be obtained.

The above discussion reflects a rather general situation: no *accurate* (at a precision level of a few percents) experimental informations on chiral symmetry breaking effects are available at present. This is quite understandable, since usually they only represent an almost negligible fraction of the total observed effect. In this respect, future high-luminosity K factories and/or tau/charm factories offer some interesting opportunities to improve the experimental situation.

The next generation of K_{e4} experiments at DAΦNE will increase the number of events by a factor of ten within one year of data taking, and thus improve the precision on the $\pi - \pi$ phase shifts (for a discussion of semi-leptonic K decays, see Ref. [11]). A determination of a_0^0 within, say, 5% would rule out the scenario $B_0 \sim \Lambda_H$ if the present central value of 0.26 would be confirmed.

An interesting possibility to pin down the value of r directly in $K_{\mu 4}$ decays has also been suggested [12]. It relies on the observation that the axial vector form factor R (in the notations of Ref. [11]), whose contributions to the amplitude appear with a suppression factor m_e^2 in the case of K_{e4} decays, contributes substantially in the case of $K_{\mu 4}$ decays, and exhibits an observable dependence with respect to r at leading order.

The analysis of D_{l4} decays (e.g. $D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ e^+ \nu_e$) at a tau/charm factory could similarly lead to precise determinations of the phase shifts for $K - \pi$ scattering, which has also been discussed within Chiral Perturbation Theory [13], [14].

Quite generally, a tau/charm factory would be welcome as a source of light flavours, through the hadronic decays $\tau \rightarrow n\pi \nu_\tau$, or $\tau \rightarrow n\pi K \nu_\tau$. The extraction of the chiral symmetry breaking scalar form factor in e.g. $\tau \rightarrow 3\pi \nu_\tau$ is kinematically possible [15], even with non polarized τ 's, but whether it can be done in practice with sufficient accuracy remains unclear. It is also interesting to note that a precise normalization of the divergence of the axial vector in this process would allow for a determination of the quark masses themselves, through the evaluation of the QCD spectral sum rules [16].

Finally, the possibility to obtain the value of the combination $a_0^0 - a_0^2$ of $\pi - \pi$ scattering lengths from the measure of the lifetime of $\pi^+ - \pi^-$ atoms [17] should also be mentioned. A letter of intent [18] for such an experiment at CERN has recently been approved [19].

Acknowledgements

I thank Jan Stern for several illuminating discussions and for a careful reading of the manuscript, Lucien Montanet for an informative conversation concerning the $\pi^+ - \pi^-$ atom project at CERN, and the Organizers of the “Rencontres” for creating a stimulating atmosphere.

References

- [1] M. Gell-Mann, R. J. Oakes and B. Renner, Phys. Rev. **175**, 2195 (1968); S. Glashow and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 224 (1968).
- [2] N. H. Fuchs, H. Sazdjian and J. Stern, Phys. Lett. **B269**, 183 (1991).
- [3] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. **158**, 142 (1984); Nucl. Phys. **B250**, 465 (1985).
- [4] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. **87**, 77 (1982).
- [5] N. H. Fuchs, H. Sazdjian and J. Stern, Phys. Lett. **B238**, 380 (1990).
- [6] R. A. Arndt, Z. Li, L. D. Roper and R. L. Workman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 157 (1990).
- [7] R. Koch and E. Pietarinen, Nucl. Phys. **A336**, 311 (1980).
- [8] N. H. Fuchs, H. Sazdjian and J. Stern, preprint IPNO/TH 92-106, to appear in Phys. Rev. **D**.
- [9] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 616 (1966).
- [10] M. M. Nagels et al. Nucl. Phys. **B147**, 189 (1979).
- [11] J. Bijnens, G. Ecker, J. Gasser, contribution to the DAΦNE Handbook (1992), edited by L. Maiani, G. Pancheri and N. Paver.
- [12] N. H. Fuchs, M. Knecht, H. Sazdjian and J. Stern, to be published.
- [13] J. Bijnens, Nucl. Phys. **B337**, 635 (1990).
- [14] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and U.-G. Meissner, Phys. Rev. **D43**, R2757 (1991); Nucl. Phys. **B357**, 129 (1991).
- [15] J. H. Kühn and E. Mirkes, Z. Phys. **C56**, 661 (1992).

- [16] C. A. Dominguez and E. de Rafael, *Ann. Phys.* **174**, 372 (1987), and references therein.
- [17] L. L. Nemenov, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **41**, 629 (1985); A. A. Bel'kov, V. N. Pervushin and F. G. Tkebuchava, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **44**, 300 (1986).
- [18] G. Czapek et al., Letter of Intent, CERN/SPSLC 92-44.
- [19] L. Montanet, private communication.